

To understand how evolution has produced the diversity of life, we need to study two fundamental processes:

• How a single species changes through time?

Ring species • Gulls • Salamanders • Warblers • Leopard Frogs

To understand how evolution has produced the diversity of life, we need to study two fundamental processes:

Ring species • Gulls • Salamanders • Warblers • Leopard Frogs

To understand how evolution has produced the diversity of life, we need to study two fundamental processes:

- How a single species changes through time.
- How a single species becomes two or more species? = speciation











Ring species • Gulls • Salamanders • Warblers • Leopard Frogs		
ANAGENESIS		
VERSUS		
CLADOGENESIS		
Branching of the lineage doesn't occur	Branching of the lineage occurs	



Ring species • Gulls • Salamanders • Warblers • Leopard Frogs ANAGENESIS V E R S U S CLADOGENESIS			
Called phyletic evolution or progressive evolution	Called the branching evolution		
Called phyletic evolution or progressive evolution	Called the branching evolution		
<b>Zing snarias</b> « Gulle » Salamandars » Warble	rs • Leonard Frags		

ANAGENESIS	
VERSUS	
<b>CLADOGENESIS</b>	

Does not promote biological diversity

Promotes biological diversity since it increases the number of species



Ring species • Gulls • Salamanders • Warblers • Leopard Frogs

# Speciation usually takes too long to observe in one lifetime.

but.....



Imagine populations of the species A.

Over the geographic range there are a number of subpopulations.

These subpopulations (A1-A14) have limited regions of over-lap with one another, but in those regions, they interbreed successfully.

But, populations A14 and A1 no longer interbreed successfully – are these populations separate species?





<image>



### Two species of gull: the herring gull and the lesser black-backed gull.

Diverged from a common ancestry as they have colonized and encircled the northern hemisphere.

Where they occur together in northern Europe they fail to interbreed and are clearly recognized as two distinct species.

However, they are linked along their ranges by a series of freely interbreeding races or subspecies. (After Brookes, 1998.)



http://evolution.berkeley.edu/evolibrary/article/0\_0\_0/devitt\_01



#### Neighboring subspecies are more similar to one another than to those across the ring.

Neighboring subspecies seem to blend into one another.

http://www.parksconservancy.org/about/newsletters/park-e-ventures/2013/06-ggro.html







http://evolution.berkeley.edu/evolibrary/article/0\_0\_0/devitt\_01





The northern coastal form, called *E. picta*, had a pattern of colors that seemed to encompass the other subspecies.

It was easy to imagine how the more specialized southern forms could have evolved from E. picta.

Hypothesis: the two southward-moving Ensatina lineages have both emerged from E. picta immediate

http://evolution.berkeley.edu/evolibrary/article/0\_0\_0/devitt\_01



http://evolution.berkeley.edu/evolibrary/article/0\_0\_0/devitt\_01

Ensatina phylogeny based on mitochondrial DNA.



Ensatina phylogeny based on mitochondrial DNA. *E.e. oregonensis* **o** is composed of four separate evolutionary lineages, which happen to be morphologically similar to one another.

http://evolution.berkeley.edu/evolibrary/article/0\_0\_0/devitt\_01



http://evolution.berkeley.edu/evolibrary/article/0\_0\_0/devitt\_01



The forms near the eastern and western endpoints of the ring formed distinct groups — as expect if they each evolved separately from *Ensatina*'s ancestor.



Ring species • Gulls • Salamanders • Warblers • Leopard Frogs



The midpoints of the ring salamander lineages branched off near the base of the tree — suggesting that they are closely related to the ancestor of the ring.





http://evolution.berkeley.edu/evolibrary/article/0\_0\_0/devitt\_01

# Why doesn't Ensatina's ring join up fully?



Ring species • Gulls • Salamanders • Warblers • Leopard Frogs



- Perhaps they rarely recognize each other as potential mates. Many animals use particular clues to help them determine who would make an appropriate mate. Those clues may come in the form of a smell (e.g., a pheromone), a physical trait (e.g., a color pattern), or a behavior (e.g., a particular mating call or dance). Maybe eschscholtzii and klauberi have evolved such that they are attracted to different cues and so now avoid each other in the salamander singles scene.
- 2. Perhaps they are reproductively incompatible. The two subspecies might have no qualms about mating with one another but rarely produce healthy offspring because of basic biological differences that have evolved as the two lineages moved south.
- 3. Perhaps they rarely mate because they rarely meet. For example, the two might prefer different <u>habitats</u> or have such different lifestyles that they rarely even run into one another let alone get together and mate.

forms distinct?

E. e. eschscholtzii

Why don't these two subspecies blend into one another, as the forms around the rest of the ring do?

Why do the two forms interbreed in some places and not others? Since they do sometimes interbreed — what's keeping the two

Why doesn't Ensatina's ring join up fully?

Love in the hybrid zone

E. e. klauberi

Ring species • Gulls • Salamanders • Warblers • Leopard Frogs

http://evolution.berkeley.edu/evolibrary/article/0\_0\_0/devitt\_0

hybrid









West Siberian greenish warbler (felosa) (*Phylloscopus trochiloides viridanus*)

East Siberian greenish warbler (felosa) (Phylloscopus trochiloides plumbeitarsus)

#### **Tracing the Evolution of Species**

Biologists have discovered two populations of Eurasian songbirds in Siberia that show the strongest evidence yet of having evolved from a single ancestral species into two distinct ones. The map below shows the present ranges of the birds around the Thetan Pittaew, with gradators of color indecting where graduat changes have evolved between one subspecies and another.





Map of Asia showing the six subspecies of the greenish warbler described by Ticehurst in 1938. The crosshatched blue and red area in central Siberia shows the contact zone between *Phylloscopus trochiloides viridanus* and *Phylloscopus trochiloides plumbeilarsus*, which do not interbreed. Colors grade together where Ticehurst described gradual morphological change. The gap in northern China is most likely the result of habitat destruction.





The *Rana* species complex (the leopard frog, *Rana pipiens*, is one member of this complex) is widely distributed from Wisconsin to Mexico. Yet there is a problem when we attempt to classify these organisms and delineate subspecies and species geographical boundaries.















Ernst Mayr called ring species "the perfect demonstration of speciation" because they show a range of intermediate forms between two species.

They allow us to use variation in space to infer how changes occurred over time. This approach is especially powerful when we can reconstruct the biogeographical history of a ring species, as has been done in two cases.